

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO         |  |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/072,656                 | 02/07/2002     | Eric M. Prophet      | 269/132                 | 3328                    |  |
| · 7:                       | 590 08/25/2003 |                      |                         |                         |  |
| O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP      |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| 114 PACIFICA<br>IRVINE, CA |                |                      | LOKE, STEV              | LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN     |  |
|                            |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| •                          |                | ,                    | . 2811                  |                         |  |
|                            |                | •                    | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003 | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| V4   |   |  | th                    |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | A cant(s)  |                       |  |  |  |
| *  | 10/072,656  | PROPHET, ERIC  | M.                    |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                       |  |  |  |
| ·  | Steven Loke   | 2811   |                       |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, in. a reply within the statutory minim eriod will apply and will expire SI statute, cause the application to b | er, may a reply be timely filed  rum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly.<br>communication. |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> </ol>  | <u>09 June 2003</u> .   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑  | This action is non-fina   | al.  |                       |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15,17-26,39 and 40</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  | hdrawn from considerat  | ion.   |                       |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15,17-26,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a<br>Application Papers   | ind/or election requirem  | ent.   |                       |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 June 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.  | 8) 5) 🔲 1   | nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No<br>Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT<br>Other:   |                       |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2811

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 6, the phrase "...is patterned is patterned..." is unclear whether it is being referred to "...is patterned...". Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 11-15, 17-22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Silverbrook.

In regards to claim 1, Silverbrook shows all the elements of the claimed invention in figs. 1-3 and 17. It is a Micro-Electro-Mechanical System (MEMS) apparatus, comprising: a substrate [11]; a passivation layer [13 or 22] on the substrate [11], the passivation layer having a top surface; and a microstructure (the nozzle plate [41]) suspended above the substrate [11], the microstructure having a bottom surface facing the top surface of the passivation layer [13 or 22], wherein the passivation layer [13 or 22] is patterned to form a plurality of spaced protuberances (In layer [13], a portion of layer [13] formed between slots [15, 16] is spaced from a portion of layer [13] formed between two slots (the slots above slots [15, 16]) is spaced from a portion of layer [22] formed between two slots (the slots above slots [16, 17])).

Art Unit: 2811

In regards to claim 3, Silverbrook further discloses the bottom surface of the microstructure is substantially flat (see fig. 17).

In regards to claim 4, Silverbrook further discloses at least one of the protuberances has a square cross section.

In regards to claim 5, Silverbrook further discloses at least one of the protuberances has a rectangular cross section.

In regards to claim 6, Silverbrook further discloses at least one of the protuberances has a hexagonal cross section.

In regards to claim 7, Silverbrook further discloses the passivation layer [13 or 22] is patterned to form a mesh.

In regards to claim 8, Silverbrook further discloses the bottom surface of the microstructure [41] is substantially flat (see fig. 17).

In regards to claim 11, Silverbrook further discloses the passivation layer [22] comprises polyimide (polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)) (col. 6, lines 20-21).

In regards to claim 12, Silverbrook further discloses the passivation layer [13] comprises silicon nitride (col. 6, line 13 and figs. 4 and 7).

In regards to claim 13, Silverbrook shows all the elements of the claimed invention in figs. 1-3 and 17. It is a Micro-Electro-Mechanical System (MEMS) apparatus, comprising: a substrate [11]; a passivation layer [13 or 22] on the substrate [11], the passivation layer having a top surface; a support having side walls [40] (the support on the left side of fig. 3) attached to the substrate [11] via the layers [12, 13]; a beam (a portion of the nozzle plate [41] extends from the support on the left side of fig. 3 to the

Art Unit: 2811

support on the right side of fig. 3) attached at one end to the support and suspended above the substrate, the beam having a bottom surface facing the top surface of the passivation layer; wherein the passivation layer [13 or 22] is patterned to form a plurality of spaced protuberances (In layer [13], a portion of layer [13] formed between slots [15, 16] is spaced from a portion of layer [13] formed between slots [16, 17]. In layer [22], a portion of layer [22] formed between two slots (the slots above slots [15, 16]) is spaced from a portion of layer [22] formed between two slots (the slots above slots [16, 17])).

In regards to claim 14, Silverbrook further discloses a second support (the support on the right side of fig. 3) attached to the substrate via the layers [12, 13] and wherein the beam is attached to the second support at a second end.

In regards to claim 15, Silverbrook further discloses a bottom electrode (conductor [53] of fig. 15) on the substrate [11] and underneath the bottom surface of the beam.

In regards to claim 17, Silverbrook further discloses the bottom surface of the microstructure is substantially flat (see fig. 17).

In regards to claim 18, Silverbrook further discloses at least one of the protuberances has a square cross section.

In regards to claim 19, Silverbrook further discloses at least one of the protuberances has a rectangular cross section.

In regards to claim 20, Silverbrook further discloses at least one of the protuberances has a hexagonal cross section.

In regards to claim 21, Silverbrook further discloses the passivation layer [13 or 22] is patterned to form a mesh.

Art Unit: 2811

In regards to claim 22, Silverbrook further discloses the bottom surface of the microstructure is substantially flat (see fig. 17).

In regards to claim 25, Silverbrook further discloses the passivation layer [22] comprises polyimide (polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)) (col. 6, lines 20-21).

In regards to claim 26, Silverbrook further discloses the passivation layer [13] comprises silicon nitride (col. 6, line 13 and figs. 4 and 7).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9, 10, 23, 24, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook.

In regards to claims 9 and 23, Silverbrook differs from the claimed invention by not showing the mesh is a square mesh. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a square mesh because it depends on the amount of ink release from the inkjet nozzle.

In regards to claims 10 and 24, Silverbrook differs from the claimed invention by not showing the mesh is a hexagonal mesh. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a hexagonal mesh because it depends on the amount of ink release from the inkjet nozzle.

Art Unit: 2811

In regards to claims 39 and 40, Silverbrook differs from the claimed invention by not showing the passivation layer is made of a dielectric material selected from the group consisting of silicon oxide, strontium titanate oxide, barium strontium titanate, and benzocyclobutene. It would have been obvious for the passivation layer is made of a dielectric material selected from the group consisting of silicon oxide, strontium titanate oxide, barium strontium titanate, and benzocyclobutene, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sl

August 20, 2003

Stoven Loke Princer / Examiner

Steven Loke